



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

in discussion of principles at all, and leaves to the reader the task of forming conclusions. The book is a compromise between a mere digest of the corporation law of Canada and a text book of the bare rules of that law. It is readable and interesting to the legal student and should prove helpful to the brief writer. Many English and United States decisions are referred to to show the contrast or orthodoxy, as the case may be, of the Canadian decisions cited.

The structure of the book is admirable. The chapters are short and at the beginning of each is a numbered summary of the various paragraph topics. Through the chapter the paragraph headings appear in heavy display type, thus enabling the reader to locate at once the point of which he is in search. There are five appendices, the first containing forms, and the last four the Canada, Ontario, Quebec, and British Columbia Companies Acts, respectively.

A HAND BOOK ON PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE. By Rufus Waples. Second Edition. Chicago: Callaghan & Company. 1901. pp. xvi, 306.

This unpretentious little volume is not profound, but it deals simply and intelligently with a subject upon which ignorance is far too common, as the conduct of many a public meeting bears witness; and it justifies the claim of its author that it renders the rules of order plain, certain and ready of application. It is something more, however, than a collection of rules. The author has been reasonably successful in his attempt to reduce the method of transacting business by means of motions to a system in which new questions may be solved on principle. His concise and pithy style lends itself readily to the condensation required in a work of this character. The addition of a series of questions and answers at the end of each chapter will, perhaps, recall to the reader the first steps in his own educational career, but this may be pardoned, if it prove of assistance to him who reads as he runs—for it is for such that the book is evidently intended.

A table showing the classification of motions and a reference list of exceptional motions makes apparent the system of classification adopted and enables the hard-pressed presiding officer to determine upon the proper ruling at a glance.

The value and interest of the book are enhanced by a chapter dealing with judicial decisions affecting the subject, and by a chapter on the method of procedure in Congress.

THE POLICE POWER OF THE STATE AND DECISIONS THEREON AS ILLUSTRATING THE DEVELOPMENT AND VALUE OF CASE LAW. By Alfred Russell, LL. D. Chicago: Callaghan & Company. 1900. pp. xvii, 204. Mr. Russell's book can hardly be recommended. He says nothing new in regard to old and well-known cases dealing with the police power; and his treatment of recent decisions is inadequate and untrustworthy. For instance, the case of *Att'y-Gen'l v Williams* (Mass. 1899) 55 N. E. 77 cited (p. 36) as an example of the right of the legislature to regulate the height of buildings on a public park (Copley Square, Boston), by virtue of the